

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/648,927	08/27/2003	Tianhong Cui	16675/97145-00	4110
7:	590 10/13/2004	•	EXAMINER	
Lance A. Foster			KWOK, HELEN C	
Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent, Carrere & Denegre, L.L.P.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8555 United Pla	aza Boulevard, 4th Floor	th Floor 2856		
Baton Rouge, LA 70809			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	AUdian Na	[A 1! 1/	<i>Y</i> n
:	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,927	CUI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen C. Kwok	2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than the set of the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
· ·	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	,		
4)	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • •	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119)	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/648,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-9, drawn to forming a polymer based micro-machine, classified in class 438, subclass 82.
- Claims 10-14, drawn to a polymer based micro-machine, classified in class 257, subclass 643.
- III. Claims 15-18, drawn to a reduced noise tunneling sensor, classified in class 73, subclass 514.16.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as a pressure sensor or a density sensor.
- 4. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

Application/Control Number: 10/648,927 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make a materially different product such as a pressure sensor or a density sensor.

- 5. Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus as claimed can be used to make a different produce such as a pressure sensor or a density sensor.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and Group III, restriction, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2856

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen C. Kwok whose telephone number is (571) 272-2197. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 5, 2004

HELEN KWOK
PRIMARY EXAMINER